

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,793	01/04/2001	James Allen Wambaugh	TH-1714 (US)	3251	
7590 11/24/2004			EXAMINER		
Beverlee G. Steinberg c/o Shell Oil Company			TRAN, LEN		
Intellectual Pro		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 2463		1725			
Houston, TX 77252-2463			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/754,793		WAMBAUGH, JAMES ALLEN			
Office Action Summary		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Len Trar		1725			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on th	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address) 		
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA naions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dr. o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statum to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ays, a reply within the state or properties the analysis of the analysis	vent, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communion	cation.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	an 9/7/04					
			non-final				
	Fhis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice i				IS IS		
Dispositi	on of Claims		adyle, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 5-23</u> is/are pending in th						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v		maida sati				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	viuldiawn from Co	onsideration.				
	Claim(s) 1,5-23 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	equirement				
		didioi ciccion i	equirement.				
	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Ex						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).		
11)	Γhe oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	2.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc						
:	2. Certified copies of the priority doc						
• ;	Copies of the certified copies of the			d in this National Stage			
	application from the International I						
* Si	ee the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certi	fied copies not received	l. [*]			
Attachment((s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)			
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ə			
Paper	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date	(2R\08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	tent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		ffice Action Summa		of Paper No./Mail Date 1123.	2004		

Application/Control Number: 09/754,793

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Addiego et al (US 6,623,707), and further in view of Lachman et al (US 4,912,077).

Addiego et al disclose a method for equalizing heat distribution across a catalyst in a tube reactor for dehydrogenation of ethylbenzene. The tube having a center and channels molded therein for directing a feed therethrough so as to direct the flow of heat toward the center, inwardly or outwardly, of the catalytic monolith. In addition, iron oxide is useful in the catalytic dehydrogenation of ethylbenzene to styrene (col. 1, lines 1-15 and figures).

Addiego et al fail to teach a ceramic monolith support impregnated with a catalytically reactive metal such as silver, nickel, cobalt, and molybdenum.

However, Lachman et al disclose the method of preparing a unitary composite structure exhibiting catalytic activity consisting essentially of silver, cobalt, nickel and molybdenum for the purpose of using in oxidizing, or in the alternative, reducing environments, and in thermally and mechanically stressful environments (col. 2, lines 1-58 and col. 1, lines 15-20).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,793

Art Unit: 1725

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a catalyst with precious metal as taught by Lachman, in Addiego et al in order to be used in thermally and mechanically stressful environment.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues that Addiego et al fail to teach the flow path of the heat directed inwardly or outwardly towards the axial center of the tube. Examiner respectfully disagrees, since Addiego et al show in figure 1 and column 7, lines 35-38, that heat is directed via a coil, in which it is directed inwardly and outwardly towards the axial center of the tube.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,793

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran

Examine

Art Unit 1725

LT

November 23, 2004